

EXHIBIT 83

1 Elizabeth Cabraser (Cal. Bar No. 83151)
Lexi J. Hazam (Cal. Bar No. 224457)
2 Sarah R. London (Cal. Bar No. 267083)
Tiseme Zegeye (Cal. Bar No. 319927)
3 **LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN**
4 275 Battery Street, Fl. 29
San Francisco, CA 94111
5 Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008
6 slondon@lchb.com

7 Dena C. Sharp (State Bar No. 245869)
Jordan Elias (State Bar No. 228731)
8 Adam E. Polk (State Bar No. 273000)
GIRARD SHARP LLP
9 601 California Street, 14th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108
10 Tel: (415) 981-4800
Fax: (415) 981-4846
11 dsharp@girardsharp.com
jelas@girardsharp.com
12 apolk@girardsharp.com

Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658)
Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113)
Steven M. Tindall (State Bar No. 187862)
Amy M. Zeman (State Bar No. 273100)
GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP
505 14th Street, Suite 1110
Oakland, CA 94162
Tel: (510) 350-9700
Fax: (510) 350-9701
ehg@classlawgroup.com
dsh@classlawgroup.com
smt@classlawgroup.com
amz@classlawgroup.com

Adam B. Wolf (Cal. Bar No. 215914)
Tracey B. Cowan (Cal. Bar No. 250053)
PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE, PLC
4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 766-3545
Facsimile: (415) 402-0058
awolf@pwcklegal.com
tcowan@pwcklegal.com

13
14 *Counsel for Plaintiffs and Interim Class Counsel*

15
16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19
20 *IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER*
21 *LITIGATION*

Case No. 3:18-cv-01586-JSC

**PLAINTIFF G.H.'S RESPONSES TO
DEFENDANT CHART INC.'S
INTERROGATORIES**

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2

3
4
5
6
7

8

9

20
21
22

- 23
-
- 24
-
- 25

2. Plaintiff objects to the Interrogatories insofar as they purport to require disclosure of communications and information that are shielded from disclosure by the physician-patient privilege.

3. Plaintiff objects to the Interrogatories on the grounds that they require the disclosure of confidential and/or private information that is not directly relevant to Plaintiff's claims, the disclosure of which would cause injury, embarrassment, or the invasion of Plaintiff's privacy as protected under Section 1 of the California Constitution.

4. Plaintiff objects to the Interrogatories to the extent to which they purport to impose obligations on the responding entities other than those imposed by applicable law, including calling for responses that are not reasonably calculated to lead to the production of admissible evidence.

5. Plaintiff objects to the Interrogatories to the extent they infringe upon plaintiff's privacy rights.

6. Without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, each of which is hereby expressly incorporated into each individual response as if fully restated therein, Plaintiff responds, as set forth below, subject to the following additional reservations:

a. The right to object on any permissible ground whatsoever, including, but not limited to, competency, vagueness, relevance, and materiality, to the admission into evidence or other use of any of these responses at the trial of this action or at any other proceeding in this action or any other action;

b. The right to object on any permissible ground whatsoever to any demand for further responses or any other discovery procedures involving or relating to the subject matter of the responses; and

c. The right at any time to revise, correct, add to, or clarify any of the responses set forth herein, as discovery is ongoing.

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Identify Your date of birth.

1 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

2 Plaintiff responds: [REDACTED], 1978.

3 **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

4 Identify all Providers from whom You have sought treatment for obstetrics, gynecology,
5 or reproductive services during the last 10 years.

6 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

7 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it purports to require the
8 disclosure of information subject to a claim of privilege or protection, including, without
9 limitation, information protected by based on the attorney-client relationship, physician-patient
10 relationship, marital relationship, attorney work product, or litigation materials. (Objection on the
11 ground of "Privilege.") Plaintiff also objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for
12 information protected by Plaintiff's privacy rights, or the privacy rights of others, or any other
13 lawfully recognized privilege or immunity from disclosure that may attach to information
14 requested by the interrogatory. (Objection on the ground of "Privacy.") Plaintiff objects to this
15 Interrogatory to the extent that it purports to impose any duty upon Plaintiff that is inconsistent
16 with, or beyond that required by, the rules of this Court and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
17 (Objection on the ground of "Scope.") Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the ground that it
18 seeks information that is not relevant to this action and not "proportional to the needs of the case"
19 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1). (Objection on the ground of "Relevance.")
20 Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information for the
21 illegitimate purposes of harassing, annoying, or embarrassing Plaintiff. (Objection on the ground
22 of "Harassment.") Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff
23 responds that, in or around February 2016, she sought fertility services from Pacific Fertility
24 Center and its doctors.

25 **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

26 Identify any diagnosis of infertility or other medical diagnosis that You understand could
27 impact Your fertility or ability to give birth to a child in any way, including the date of such
28 diagnosis and the name of the Provider(s) from whom You received such diagnosis.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and information that may not be within Plaintiff's personal knowledge. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds to the best of her understanding Plaintiff is unaware of any particular medical diagnosis that she may have received. She understands from her providers at PFC that her age could impact her fertility.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Identify all Fertility-Related Services that You have received, including, but not limited to, a description of such services, the dates on which You received such services, and the names of the Providers from whom You received such services.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that, in or around May 2016, she received the following fertility services from Pacific Fertility Center: egg retrieval, egg cryopreservation, and egg storage services.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

For any Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4, identify any psychological or emotional distress that You experienced in connection with such services.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that she experienced the following psychological and emotional distress in connection with the Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4: suffering, anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry, shock, humiliation, and shame. Plaintiff further responds that this psychological and emotional distress impacted her personal and professional life.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify any treatment, medical or otherwise, that You have received for any psychological or emotional distress that You experienced in connection with Fertility-Related Services identified in Interrogatory No. 4, including, but not limited to, the type of treatment, the dates of such treatment, the names of the Providers from whom You received such treatment, the name of any medications that You were prescribed in connection with such treatment, and the outcome of such treatment.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony. Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds: None.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Identify the total number of pregnancies that You have had, including the date of each pregnancy, whether the pregnancy occurred without Assisted Reproductive Technology, and the outcome of each pregnancy, including, but not limited to, whether the pregnancy resulted in a miscarriage or a live birth.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment, and particularly to the extent this question calls for information related to unplanned pregnancies terminated for elective reasons. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony, is vague as to what “pregnancy” means (i.e. clinical, biochemical, or both), vague as to “date of each pregnancy”, and calls for information that may not be within Plaintiff’s personal knowledge (as often women are unaware of pregnancies that result in early miscarriages). Notwithstanding the above, and without waiving any objections, Plaintiff responds that to the best of her understanding, she had the following pregnancies using assisted reproductive technology: Zero. Plaintiff further responds that to the best of her understanding, she had the following pregnancies without using assisted reproductive technology from February 2014 through the present, resulting in either a miscarriage or live birth: Zero.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

For any pregnancy identified in Interrogatory No. 7 that did not result in a live birth, identify what You understand was the reason(s) for the pregnancy not resulting in a live birth and the basis for that understanding, including, but not limited to, the name of any Provider on whom You base Your understanding.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and for information not within Plaintiff's personal knowledge or understanding. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds: Not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Identify all reasons why You sought services at PFC.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the ground of Vagueness because the term "reasons" is vague or otherwise incomprehensible. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds she had heard that friends and acquaintances had frozen eggs at PFC, and some had good experiences. Plaintiff also saw representations about PFC's services on its website, including claims about the quality of its services. In addition, Plaintiff wanted to preserve her eggs for future use.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Identify the total number of children that You currently have, their ages, and whether any are Your biological children (i.e., conceived from Your own eggs or sperm).

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds: Zero.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

With regard to any child identified in response to Interrogatory No. 10, state (i) whether that child was conceived with Assisted Reproductive Technology, and (ii) whether that child

1 shares any genetic parentage with the Biological Material that You understand to have been
2 stored in Tank 4 on March 4, 2018, or in any other location, and, if so, which genetic parent or
3 parents are in common.

4 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

5 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and
6 Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds: Not applicable.

7 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

8 Identify all of the Biological Material that You have cryopreserved at any time, whether at
9 PFC or at another facility, the location(s) at which such Biological Material was cryogenically
10 stored, the type and quantity of Biological Material stored at each location, and the dates on
11 which the Biological Material was stored at each location.

12 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

13 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and
14 Harassment. Subject to these objections, and to the best of her understanding, Plaintiff responds
15 she stored approximately 2 eggs at PFC in San Francisco, CA, in or around May 2016.

16 **INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

17 With regard to the Biological Material identified in Your response to Interrogatory No. 12,
18 identify all attempts that You made to use such cryopreserved Biological Material to initiate a
19 pregnancy and the dates of such attempts.

20 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

21 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and
22 Harassment. Subject to these objections, with respect to Biological Material stored at PFC,
23 Plaintiff responds: None.

24 **INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

25 Describe the outcome of all of the attempts to use Your Biological Material identified in
26 Interrogatory No. 13, including, but not limited to, whether such attempt resulted in a pregnancy,
27 miscarriage, or a live birth.
28

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff further objects that the term “pregnancy” is vague. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds: Not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

For any attempt to use Your Biological Material identified in Interrogatory No. 13 that did not result in a live birth, identify what You understand was the reason(s) for that result and the basis for Your understanding, including, but not limited to, the name of any Provider on whom You base Your understanding.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Plaintiff also objects that this Interrogatory calls for expert testimony and for information not within Plaintiff’s personal knowledge or understanding. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds: Not applicable.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

State whether You have ever donated or discarded, or directed anyone to donate or discard, any cryogenically stored Biological Material.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 16:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege, Privacy, Relevance, and Harassment. Subject to these objections, Plaintiff responds that as to Biological Material stored at PFC: No.

INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Describe any emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the Incident.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds she experienced the following psychological and emotional distress as a result of the Incident: suffering, anguish, fright, horror, nervousness, grief, anxiety, worry,

1 shock, humiliation, shame, anxiety, and depression.

2 **INTERROGATORY NO. 18:**

3 Identify any treatment, medical or otherwise, that You have received for any
4 psychological or emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the Incident,
5 including, but not limited to, the type of treatment, the dates of such treatment, the names of the
6 Providers from whom You received such treatment, the name of any medications that You were
7 prescribed in connection with such treatment, and the outcome of such treatment.

8 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 18:**

9 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to
10 objections, Plaintiff responds: None.

11 **INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

12 Identify any medical diagnoses that You have received in connection with any
13 psychological or emotional distress that You claim to have experienced as a result of the Incident,
14 including, but not limited to, the date of such diagnoses, the name of the Provider(s) who made
15 such diagnoses, and the name of any medications that You were prescribed in connection with
16 such diagnoses.

17 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 19:**

18 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Privilege and Privacy. Subject to
19 objections, Plaintiff responds: None.

20 **INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

21 With regard to any Biological Material that You allege to have been stored in Tank 4 on
22 March 4, 2018, identify all payments made for such storage, including the dates of payment.

23 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 20:**

24 Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that the information sought is
25 available from other parties and/or is not within Plaintiff's possession, custody, or control.
26 (Objection on the ground of "Custody."). Plaintiff responds she paid approximately \$600 for
27 initial storage in or around March 2016 and approximately \$600 for her second year of storage
28 about a year later.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Identify the total amount of expenses that You have incurred for any Fertility-Related Services identified in response to Interrogatory No. 4, including the dates on which you incurred such expenses.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 21:

Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds of Custody. Subject to objections, Plaintiff responds she paid approximately \$14,000 in or around March 2016.

INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Describe any damages to which You allege You are entitled based upon the claims alleged in the Action, including a detailed computation of all components of those damages.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 22:

Plaintiff responds Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, seek compensatory and punitive damages subject to proof. Plaintiffs' calculation of damages will depend on information to be received from Defendant in discovery, as well as expert testimony. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the class, also seek injunctive relief in the form of a preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants.

Dated: November 4, 2019

By: 

Elizabeth J. Cabraser (State Bar No. 083151)
Lexi J. Hazam (State Bar No. 224457)
Sarah R. London (State Bar No. 267083)
Tiseme G. Zegeye (State Bar No. 319927)
**LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP**
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
Tel: (415) 956-1000
Fax: (415) 956-1008
ecabraser@lchb.com
lhazam@lchb.com
slondon@lchb.com
tzegeye@lchb.com

1 Adam B. Wolf (State Bar No. 215914)
2 Tracey B. Cowan (State Bar No. 250053)
3 **PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE, A**
4 **PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION**
5 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400
6 San Francisco, CA 94111 Tel: (415) 766-3545
7 Fax: (415) 402-0058
8 awolf@pwcklegal.com
9 tcowan@pwcklegal.com

10 Dena C. Sharp (State Bar No. 245869)
11 Jordan Elias (State Bar No. 228731)
12 Adam E. Polk (State Bar No. 273000)
13 **GIRARD SHARP LLP**
14 601 California Street, 14th Floor
15 San Francisco, CA 94108
16 Tel: (415) 981-4800
17 Fax: (415) 981-4846
18 dsharp@girardsharp.com
19 jeliass@girardsharp.com
20 apolk@girardsharp.com

21 Eric H. Gibbs (State Bar No. 178658)
22 Dylan Hughes (State Bar No. 209113)
23 Steven M. Tindall (State Bar No. 187862)
24 Amy M. Zeman (State Bar No. 273100)
25 **GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP**
26 505 14th Street, Suite 1110
27 Oakland, CA 94162
28 Tel: (510) 350-9700
ehg@classlawgroup.com
dsh@classlawgroup.com
smt@classlawgroup.com
amz@classlawgroup.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs and Interim Class Counsel

VERIFICATION

I, G.H., verify subject to the penalty of perjury that the foregoing Objections and Responses to Defendant Chart's Interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: 11/4/2019

By: _____

DocuSigned by:



71B74023411E413...

G.H.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY